In a year of great turmoil and uncertainty, impact investing has continued to show itself as an important force for good, protecting lives, livelihoods and businesses – especially in emerging markets.

It is only through the precise measurement of this impact, and examining how it was created, that we can understand what our industry has achieved and what lessons we need to learn. In this pivotal moment in world history, common standards which govern our investment process and verify our companies’ impact results strengthen the case for a new era of impact investment.

This commitment to impact measurement and management has been the hallmark of LeapFrog Investments’ approach since founding in 2007. It is why LeapFrog has supported the Operating Principles for Impact Management since their conception. It was why I was proud to co-launch the original Principles in Bali alongside the President of the World Bank and the chief executive of IFC. It is why the LeapFrog team have been delighted to contribute to that growth by encouraging others to join, by working to set an example of best practice, and via our roles on the advisory board.

We have been thrilled to see the number of signatories to the Principles grow from 60 to 129, now reaching 31 countries.

LeapFrog was the first impact investor globally to announce an independent audit against the Principles, achieving the top rating of Advanced on all 9 Principles.

In this report, we are pleased to share our second disclosure, in which we continue our effort to provide consistent and authentic leadership in impact measurement and management.

As impact-washing rises inevitably with the growth of our industry, the need for better and more transparent impact measurement and management practices grows too. To build enduring trust, we must verify, again and again. This will decisively demonstrate to the global investment community both the financial and social value of commercial impact investing. It will ensure our firms and our industry scale with integrity.

While the Coronavirus pandemic tested the resilience of businesses and investments the world over, in some ways it helped impact investors focus on the essential mission they have, to positively impact the societies in which they operate, and especially the most vulnerable among us.

Let us build our industry on the foundation of these common standards. Let us ensure that the thousands of new entrants as well as the longstanding participants in impact investing are equipped to deliver Profit with Purpose, as a true synergy.

Onward and upward,
Dr Andy Kuper
**Principle 1**

Define strategic impact objective(s), consistent with the investment strategy

The Manager shall define strategic impact objectives for the portfolio or fund to achieve positive and measurable social or environmental effects, which are aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), or other widely accepted goals. The impact intent does not need to be shared by the investee. The Manager shall seek to ensure that the impact objectives and investment strategy are consistent; that there is a credible basis for achieving the impact objectives through the investment strategy; and that the scale and/or intensity of the intended portfolio impact is proportionate to the size of the investment portfolio.

- LeapFrog seeks to fulfil the global unmet demand for critical financial and health products and services among billions of low-income emerging consumers by backing high-growth, innovative, scalable businesses in Africa and Asia. Emerging consumers are defined as working people and their families, living below $10 per day, willing and able to pay for critical products and services, but either entirely excluded or underserved by virtue of being low-income, or due to other factors such as a health condition, caste, religion, ethnicity, gender, etc.

- LeapFrog’s Profit with Purpose approach is built upon the premise of empowering emerging consumers to be their own agents of change and choice, stemming from the pioneering work of Nobel Prize winner Amartya Sen (who supervised LeapFrog’s founder’s PhD) and his Capability Approach for Human Development.

- Each LeapFrog fund has clear and defined social impact objectives and quantifiable impact targets (scale). These objectives are aligned with specific SDGs at a sub target level, going beyond a simple mapping. LeapFrog’s strategy contributes to and aligns with targets identified under SDG1 (no poverty), SDG3 (good health and well-being), SDG5 (gender equality), SDG8 (decent work and economic growth), SDG10 (reduced inequalities), and SDG17 (partnership for the goals).

- Fund level impact objectives are tied to positive, measurable output, outcomes, and impact, which are included in a legally binding agreement, the Responsible Investment Code. Over time, as LeapFrog has broadened its investment sector exposure across financial services and healthcare, it has expanded and adapted its Impact Management and Measurement system to target and track impact in the relevant subsector(s).

- Each potential investment opportunity is assessed against its contribution to the dual profit and purpose goals of the given fund, creating an impact gating factor prior to due diligence. Prior to investment and on an ongoing basis, the Investment team and Impact Labs team utilise the latest academic and market literature on the target industry to build the internal knowledge and capture the current best practices needed to drive impact, e.g. client protection risks and opportunities.

- Fund III targets were developed using historical data from Fund I and Fund II, ensuring that impact objectives are consistent with the investment strategy, credible, built upon actual performance delivered and proportionate to the size of the portfolio.

2: In PPP terms (2011)
Principle 2
Manage strategic impact on a portfolio basis

The Manager shall have a process to manage impact achievement on a portfolio basis. The objective of the process is to establish and monitor impact performance for the whole portfolio, while recognizing that impact may vary across individual investments in the portfolio. As part of the process, the Manager shall consider aligning staff incentive systems with the achievement of impact, as well as with financial performance.

- The overall LeapFrog investment process is multi-step and encompasses investment screening, portfolio management, and exit. LeapFrog assesses performance of the portfolio and the underlying investments in an integrated manner: against the dual profit and purpose targets at each stage of the investment process. The achievement of aggregate and fund-level profit with purpose goals falls directly under the purview of LeapFrog’s core investment governing bodies.

- Integrated performance of each investee company is reviewed, generally on a weekly basis, by a dedicated investment team. Throughout the investment holding period, each investment team tracks the progress of the business against investment cases approved by the Investment Committee (IC), which include the relevant profit and purpose targets. Each investee company has a base, upside, and downside impact expectation to account for variance in performance due to their unique model, market, and potential.

- Further reviews of integrated profit with purpose performance of the investments take place monthly and quarterly across relevant LeapFrog investment governing forums. LeapFrog also has a dedicated impact steering committee, which has responsibility for oversight of the delivery of “Purpose” by institutionalizing standardized processes as well as driving innovative projects under specific impact themes.

- All investees are mandated to align with the Responsible Investment Code and FIIRM, LeapFrog’s proprietary measurement tool. FIIRM stands for Financial, Impact, Innovation and Risk Management – an integrated approach. FIIRM incorporates the ability to measure impact using core standardized KPIs for comparability across investments and custom KPIs that capture the distinct impact of investments across the industries and markets.

- Investment staff fully own the profit and purpose performance and are supported by dedicated Profit-with-Purpose analysts embedded within each investment function to play a key thought partner and delivery role. Compensation of the entire leadership and senior management of LeapFrog is linked to the performance and delivery of core impact KPIs that are developed and adopted annually.
The Manager shall seek to establish and document a credible narrative on its contribution to the achievement of impact for each investment. Contributions can be made through one or more financial and/or non-financial channels. The narrative should be stated in clear terms and supported, as much as possible, by evidence.

- LeapFrog articulates its contribution to investee impact through sector-level theories of change, which include expected inputs, activities, and key opportunities for value creation that will enable delivery of targeted output, outcomes, and ultimate impact.

- FIIRM and Consumer Insights capture the information needed to establish performance from inputs to ultimate impact. FIIRM tracks the performance of overall business, individual products, and ongoing value creation projects via specific KPIs that determine success versus relative benchmark. Customer insights supplement FIIRM performance tracking and provide direct insights into customer needs, behaviour, and impact experienced. Further evidence is captured in the form of third-party research and studies conducted.

- Proposed value creation initiatives are assessed on expected contribution to the achievement of the impact as articulated in the theory of change, impact thesis, and specifically the dual profit and purpose targets for the investment. These include the high risk, innovative projects that LeapFrog delivers in partnership with technical assistance providers and donors.

- Value creation that is undertaken in the form of non-financial contribution is supported by significant evidence collected prior to and post the intervention, resulting in the development of success measures. Examples include LeapFrog’s CX (Consumer Insights) Launchpad and Talent Accelerator, both of which have defined end to end success measures.

- Investment teams provide quarterly qualitative and quantitative value creation performance updates to LeapFrog investors through integrated LP reports. This allows for regular updates on the contribution of LeapFrog in specific areas within a defined performance period.

3: For example, this may include: improving the cost of capital, active shareholder engagement, specific financial structuring, offering innovative financing instruments, assisting with further resource mobilization, creating long-term trusted partnerships, providing technical/ market advice or capacity building to the investee, and/or helping the investee to meet higher operational standards.
Principle 4
Assess the expected impact of each investment, based on a systematic approach

For each investment the Manager shall assess, in advance and, where possible, quantify the concrete, positive impact potential deriving from the investment. The assessment should use a suitable results measurement framework that aims to answer these fundamental questions: (1) What is the intended impact? (2) Who experiences the intended impact? (3) How significant is the intended impact? The Manager shall also seek to assess the likelihood of achieving the investment’s expected impact. In assessing the likelihood, the Manager shall identify the significant risk factors that could result in the impact varying from ex-ante expectations.

In assessing the impact potential, the Manager shall seek evidence to assess the relative size of the challenge addressed within the targeted geographical context. The Manager shall also consider opportunities to increase the impact of the investment. Where possible and relevant for the Manager’s strategic intent, the Manager may also consider indirect and systemic impacts. Indicators shall, to the extent possible, be aligned with industry standards and follow best practice.

- LeapFrog has developed a theory of change that guides the Funds’ approach to generating and attributing impact. The theory of change guides the focus of impact due diligence and measurement via FIIRM. The diligence for impact risks and opportunities is conducted using a standardized Impact and ESG Due Diligence toolkit, in collaboration with experts in the areas of consumer centricity, talent acceleration, and specialized areas such as Artificial Intelligence (AI).

- The Investment team, Impact Labs team, and Customer Centricity team collectively build the impact case. Impact is evaluated across four core areas: (1) scale of emerging consumers reached (current and expected), (2) quality of the product being provided, (3) affordability of the product relative to the emerging consumer income, and (4) the presence of good governance standards to protect the various stakeholders. In determining the emerging consumer opportunity, LeapFrog assesses the financial or health inclusion of low-income consumers in market and the expected provision of products and services by the company to the underserved consumer segment.

- Broader impact & ESG performance is assessed in relation to international best practices as defined by SASB, UN PRI, and the five dimensions of impact. Identification of risk is done using FIIRM and globally accepted standards-based toolkits or frameworks, including FMO E&S Risk Toolkit, SMART Client Protection Principles, IFC Performance Standards and engaging with ESG experts for higher risk businesses.

LeapFrog’s Investment Committee (IC) scrutinizes the expected impact and the synergy between profit and purpose. Post review, the IC formally signs off on the impact targets (base case, downside case, and upside case). Each Investment Committee paper has a separate section for impact and ESG, which provides the strategy of the underlying investment, the impact gap experienced by low income consumers, the material risks involved in delivering this strategy, and commitment of management to mitigate the risk, including evidence of a clear and viable action plan to increase the impact of the investment.

4: Impact is considered the material effect/s on people and the environment resulting from the investment, as outlined in Principle 1. Impacts assessed under Principle 4 may also include positive ESG effects derived from the investment.

5: Adapted from the Impact Management Project (www.impactmanagementproject.com).

6: Industry indicator standards include HIPSO (https://indicators.ifpartnership.org/about/); IRIS (iris.thegiin.org); GRI (www.globalreporting.org/Pages/default.aspx); and SASB (www.sasb.org), among others.

7: International best practice indicators include SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant, and Timely), and SPICED (Subjective, Participatory, Interpreted & communicable, Cross-checked, Empowering, and Diverse & disaggregated), among others.
Principle 5
Assess, address, monitor, and manage potential negative impacts of each investment

For each investment the Manager shall seek, as part of a systematic and documented process, to identify and avoid, and if avoidance is not possible, mitigate and manage Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) risks. Where appropriate, the Manager shall engage with the investee to seek its commitment to take action to address potential gaps in current investee systems, processes, and standards, using an approach aligned with good international industry practice. As part of portfolio management, the Manager shall monitor investees’ ESG risk and performance, and where appropriate, engage with the investee to address gaps and unexpected events.

- The Responsible Investment Code (RIC) outlines LeapFrog’s commitment to environmental, social and governance factors, and risks, in its investments. It is formally adopted in shareholder agreements by all portfolio companies.
- ESG risks that may be material to investee performance are identified during due diligence using numerous sources, including the SASB Materiality Map, FMO Toolkit, IFC Performance Standards, and LeapFrog’s Compliance Manual, as well as prior experience at LeapFrog with comparable deals. LeapFrog engages with specialized ESG experts for higher risk businesses as needed. For example, LeapFrog has hired an ESG expert for all healthcare investments given the risk profile of the sector.
- The result of these assessments informs an Impact and ESG action plan for each investment, which is linked to timelines, responsibilities, and resourcing. The depth and extent of the action plan is based on the materiality of risks uncovered. Action plans are refreshed annually by the Investment team and Impact Labs team collaboratively.
- Post investment, FIIRM is embedded within the portfolio companies to assess compliance with the Responsible Investment Code and international best practice. FIIRM is the primary tool to undertake standardized assessment of ESG risk. The ESG score generated is benchmarkable to comparable businesses within the portfolio and is reported to investors as part of Q4 reports.
- LeapFrog actively works with management teams to influence management of ESG factors; approaches have ranged from establishing Social & Ethics Committees to slight adjustments to already strong practices. LeapFrog has Board representation in every business. This, alongside the company’s formal commitment to our Responsible Investment Code, gives strong influence over ESG factors.

8: The application of good ESG management will potentially have positive impacts that may or may not be the principal targeted impacts of the Manager. Positive impacts resulting from ESG matters shall be measured and managed alongside with, or directly embedded in, the impact management system referenced in Principles 4 and 6.

Principle 6

Monitor the progress of each investment in achieving impact against expectations and respond appropriately

The Manager shall use the results framework (referenced in Principle 4) to monitor progress toward the achievement of positive impacts in comparison to the expected impact for each investment. Progress shall be monitored using a predefined process for sharing performance data with the investee. To the best extent possible, this shall outline how often data will be collected; the method for data collection; data sources; responsibilities for data collection; and how, and to whom, data will be reported. When monitoring indicates that the investment is no longer expected to achieve its intended impacts, the Manager shall seek to pursue appropriate action\(^\text{10}\). The Manager shall also seek to use the results framework to capture investment outcomes\(^\text{11}\).

- FIIRM forms the backbone of LeapFrog’s impact measurement and management system for achieving integrated performance results. FIIRM data and indicators are used on a monthly and quarterly basis by LeapFrog’s investment governing forums and investment functions to manage the portfolio’s performance on profit and purpose. The expected case for impact is built at the time of investment using FIIRM and Consumer Insights (quantitative and qualitative) and progress is monitored quarterly.

- LeapFrog has predefined reporting guidelines that provide detailed guidance to investment teams and investee businesses. These guidance documents include protocols and templates for reporting performance, the method and responsibilities for data collection, and to whom the data will be reported, as well as state the regular, predetermined intervals at which performance data is reported and reviewed internally. The reporting timelines are agreed at the start of the year. LeapFrog’s reporting includes monthly reviews and quarterly deep dives by LeapFrog’s investment governing bodies, quarterly reports for LPs, and specific reporting requirements.

- In the event of impact underperformance or other negative effects, LeapFrog enacts Environmental and Social Action Plans (ESAPs) or interventions mandated by LeapFrog’s investment governing forums as applicable, which could include the need to update the impact targets in light of the performance.

- FIIRM captures outcomes data for each product, which demonstrate the customer’s ability to understand, afford and use the product. This is analysed along with direct outcomes feedback from the customers to establish whether the beneficiary is experiencing the expected outcomes and impact.

10: Actions could include active engagement with the investee; early divestment; adjusting indicators/expectations due to significant, unforeseen, and changing circumstances; or other appropriate measures to improve the portfolio’s expected impact performance.

11: Outcomes are the short-term and medium-term effects of an investment’s outputs, while the outputs are the products, capital goods, and services resulting from the investment. Adopted from OECD-DAC (www.oecd.org/dac/).
Principle 7

Conduct exits considering the effect on sustained impact

When conducting an exit\textsuperscript{12}, the Manager shall, in good faith and consistent with its fiduciary concerns, consider the effect which the timing, structure, and process of its exit will have on the sustainability of the impact.

- LeapFrog has a standardized process and approach to stipulate how impact is considered at exit. This is codified in the Responsible Exits Framework.
- The purpose of the Responsible Exits Framework is to document impact considerations at exit and formally present it as part of an exit note submitted to the Investment Committee for approval. The Responsible Exits Framework states LeapFrog’s commitment to consider financial and social factors in the decision to exit and in the selection of acquirers.
- The framework seeks to assess whether the investment met its expected impact, using FIIRM data and insights to benchmark financial and social performance at investment and at exit, and states the impact rationale behind the exit timing and buyer selection.
- The framework focuses on the core alignment of the buyer with the emerging consumer strategy of the investee company, along with protection of employee rights and at-risk stakeholders, to achieve long-term sustainable social impact. The selected exit partner is required to align strongly on these aspects for the exit to proceed.

\textsuperscript{12}: This may include debt, equity, or bond sales, and excludes self-liquidating or maturing instruments
Principle 8
Review, document and improve decisions and processes based on the achievement of impact and lessons learned

The Manager shall review and document the impact performance of each investment, compare the expected and actual impact, and other positive and negative impacts, and use these findings to improve operational and strategic investment decisions, as well as management processes.

- The learning and knowledge development mandate is driven by the impact function under the purview of LeapFrog’s investment governing bodies. The Profit-with-Purpose analysts that are embedded in each investment function work with the investment team members for aggregating the unique learnings from each deal. This aggregation results in formulation of themes and insights that reflect the variation/similarities between investable sectors, geographies, and business types.

- Performance of the portfolio is reviewed under regular portfolio management on a monthly basis, followed by quarterly deep dives on integrated performance (financial and social).

- Both financial and social performance KPIs are benchmarked against the relevant performance set. Actual impact performance of each portfolio company is compared to performance cases developed. Similarly, value creation projects, both donor and non-donor funded, are tracked versus quantifiable goals set at the beginning.

- LeapFrog uses collective learning to influence the development of future fund goals, as seen in the most recent fund, LeapFrog Emerging Consumer Fund III. The impact track record achieved across previous funds was utilized as the basis for deriving and expanding the expected impact of Fund III. A measurable track record made it possible to undertake a bottom-up performance analysis through comparison of actual quantifiable results achieved versus expected, the suitability of specific business models in achieving profit with purpose results, and risk factors that could hinder performance.
The Manager shall publicly disclose, on an annual basis, the alignment of its impact management systems with the Impact Principles and, at regular intervals, arrange for independent verification of this alignment. The conclusions of this verification report shall also be publicly disclosed. These disclosures are subject to fiduciary and regulatory concerns.

- This Disclosure Note re-affirms the alignment of LeapFrog’s procedures with the Impact Principles and will be updated annually.
- The independent assurance report on the alignment of LeapFrog with the Operating Principles for Impact Management is available here. The verification will be replicated on an on-going basis.
- BlueMark (a Tideline Company) is the independent verifier for LeapFrog.
- Qualifications of Tideline: “Tideline Advisors, LLC is a certified women-owned advisory firm in impact investing. Since its founding in 2014, Tideline has become a recognized leader in impact measurement and management, focused on the design and verification of IM systems with leading asset owners and managers.”
- Tideline has offices in London, United Kingdom, New York, NY, Portland, OR and San Francisco, CA and is headquartered at 915 Battery St, San Francisco, CA 94111, USA.
- Most recent review: 27 May 2020
- LeapFrog intends to undertake verification every 3 years
LeapFrog Investments Group, Ltd. (“LeapFrog”) is a founding signatory to the Operating Principles for Impact Management (the “Impact Principles”). The International Finance Corporation (“IFC”), in consultation with a core group of impact investors and other external stakeholders including LeapFrog, developed the Operating Principles for Impact Management. These Impact Principles support the development of the impact investing industry by establishing a common discipline around the management of investments for impact.

The following Disclosure Statement applies to all funds managed by LeapFrog: LeapFrog Financial Inclusion Fund (“Fund I”), LeapFrog Financial Inclusion Fund II, LP and LeapFrog Financial Inclusion II-B, LP (together, “Fund II”), LeapFrog Strategic African Investments, LP (“LSAI”), and LeapFrog Emerging Consumer Fund III (“Fund III”) (collectively, “the Funds”).

The total assets under management in alignment with the Impact Principles is US$1.6 billion as of 31 March 2021.

Dr Andrew Kuper
Founder and CEO
LeapFrog Investments
3 July 2021

The information contained in this document has been provided by LeapFrog and is for information purposes only. No representation or warranty, expressed or implied, is made by LeapFrog as to the accuracy, completeness, reliability or suitability of the information contained herein or related information, products or services.

This document shall not constitute and should not be construed as an offer, solicitation or invitation to buy or sell any securities, financial instruments or services whether described herein or otherwise, or as any prospectus or investment-related advice in relation thereto, nor is it intended to form the basis of a decision to participate in any investment. LeapFrog makes no guarantee or other promise as to any outcomes, including any financial or development impact results that may be obtained from the practices disclosed in this statement. While past performance may be analysed in this Disclosure Statement, past performance should not be considered indicative of future performance. Accordingly, LeapFrog shall not have any liability to any of the recipients of this Disclosure Statement, nor to any other party in connection with or arising in any way from, or in relation to, the information or any opinions expressed in this Disclosure Statement, and LeapFrog does not accept any responsibility whatsoever for any action taken, or omitted to be taken by any party on the basis of any matter contained in, or omitted from, the Disclosure Statement.

Readers should not treat these materials as advice in relation to legal, taxation or investment matters and are recommended to consult their own advisers in relation to any such issues. The information contained herein may not be relied on by any person for any purpose and no responsibility is accepted by any person for the accuracy or completeness of such information. Any reliance the reader places on such information is therefore strictly at the reader’s own risk.

The inclusion of any references in this Disclosure Statement does not imply a recommendation or endorsement of the views expressed by those sources.